Electrocatalytic Activity of Graphene-supported Pt-Cu Catalysts Prepared by an Impregnation Method for Ethanol Oxidation

Zhexin Lv^1 , Hongzhou Dong¹, and Lifeng Dong^{1, 2*}

¹College of Materials Science and Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, China; ²Department of Physics, Astronomy, and Materials Science, Missouri State University, Springfield, MO 65897, USA

*DongLifeng@qust.edu.cn

Compared with traditional energy, direct ethanol fuel cells (DEFCs) have some apparent advantages such as low reaction temperature (<100°C), easy storage and transportation of fuel, high energy efficiency, and little pollution to the environment. Therefore, DEFCs are considered to be one of the ideal renewable energy resources with a promising prospect for commercial applications. Despite these advantages, there are still some critical obstacles inhibiting broad applications of DEFCs. The performance of fuel cells largely depends on anode and cathode reactions, which are substantially affected by the activity of expensive noble Pt catalysts. Consequently, different Pt-based bimetallic and trimetallic catalysts have been extensively investigated to replace pure Pt catalysts [1], and novel carbon materials have been explored as catalyst supports to effectively disperse catalyst particles [2]. In this study, graphenesupported Pt/Cu and Pt nanoparticles were synthesized by an impregnation method followed by a heat treatment in H₂ atmosphere, and their electrocatalytic activities were measured for ethanol oxidation.

For the synthesis of graphene-supported Pt [3], one mg of $H_2PtCl_6 \cdot xH_2O$ was mixed with 20 mg of graphene, which was dispersed in 10 µl of acetone solution, and ultra-sonicated for 30 min. The resulting slurry was dried in a convection oven at 100 °C for 10 h to allow the evaporation of acetone. The mixture was then treated with 4% H_2 in Ar gas at 250 °C for 3 h. For the synthesis of graphene-supported Pt/Cu, the only difference was to add 0.33 mg of CuCl₂ to the solution of graphene and Pt precursor.

Electrocatalytic activities of graphene-supported Pt (Pt/G) and Pt/Cu (PtCu/G) nanoparticles for ethanol oxidation were measured in a conventional three electrode cell using a CHI 670D electrochemical work station [4]. Pt wire served as a counter electrode, Ag/AgCl as a reference electrode, and glassy carbon (3 mm in diameter) coated with nanoparticles as a working electrode. All electrolyte solutions were deaerated under flowing nitrogen gas for 20 min. Electrocatalytic ethanol oxidation was conducted in 1 M CH₃CH₂OH + 0.5 M H₂SO₄ electrolyte by cyclic voltammetry between -0.3 V and 1.0 V, and impedance measurements at 0.3 V.

Electrocatalytic efficiencies of Pt/G and PtCu/G catalysts are compared with regard to oxidation potential and forward oxidation peak current density. The magnitude of the forward peak current density is directly proportional to the amount of ethanol oxidized at the electrode. As shown in Fig. 1a, in comparison to Pt/G catalyst, PtCu/G catalyst exhibits much higher oxidation current density. This suggests that PtCu/G is better than Pt/G in the activity for ethanol oxidation. At the same time, as shown in Fig. 1(b), it was obvious that the diameter of the semicircle for PtCu/G catalysts is smaller than the diameter for Pt/G catalysts. Therefore, PtCu/G

can provide low charge transfer resistance and thereby enhance the electrocatalytic activity for ethanol oxidations.



Fig.1. Electrocatalytic activity of Pt/G and PtCu/G for ethanol oxidations: (a) Cyclic voltammograms of catalysts in 1 M CH₃CH₂OH/0.5 M H₂SO₄ at 50 mV/s between -0.3 V and +1.0 V versus Ag/AgCl, and (b) impedance plots of those catalysts at 0.3 V.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51172113), the Shandong Natural Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars (JQ201118), the Taishan Scholar Overseas Distinguished Professorship program, the Qingdao Municipal Science and Technology Commission (12-1-4-136-hz), and the Faculty Research Grant and the Sabbatical Leave Award from Missouri State University.

References

- 1. Y. L. Guo, Y. Z. Zheng, and M. H. Huang, Electrochimica Acta 53 (2008), 3102-3108.
- A. Halder, S. Sharma, M. S. Hegde, and N.Ravishankar, J. Phys. Chem. C 113 (2009), 1466-1473.
- 3. S. M. Choi, M. H. Seo, H. J. Kim, and W. B. Kim, Synthetic Metals 161 (2011), 2405-2411.
- L. F. Dong, R. R. S. Gari, Z. Li, M. M. Craig, and S. F. Hou, Carbon 48 (2010), 781-787.