One of the grand challenges facing 21st century society is finding a clean, sustainable and reliable source of energy due to the virtually unlimited source of base fuel needed for this process. One of the major issues limiting the wide spread use of alkaline water electrolysis is the high overpotential associated with the oxygen evolution reaction (OER).

Currently, the optimal OER anode materials are RuO2 and IrO2, since these oxides exhibit the lowest overpotentials for the OER at practical current densities [6]. However, the high cost of these materials and their poor long term stability in alkaline solution renders their widespread commercial utilisation both uneconomical and impractical [7]. For these reasons, the oxides of the first row transition metals offer a compromise solution. Although they are not as electrocatalytically active, their relatively low cost and long term corrosion resistance in alkaline solution makes them attractive OER anode materials [7-11].

Building on the seminal works by Trasatti and Burke [12-15], the present paper focuses on the kinetics and mechanism of thermally prepared binary oxides based on RuO2/ NiO of well-defined composition. Fundamental electrochemical techniques such as steady state Tafel analysis, open circuit potential decay, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and reaction order studies are employed to probe the reaction mechanism at the binary metal oxide surface and to investigate the interplay between both oxides. The OER mechanism is interpreted in terms of the surfaqo group model developed in our group [16]. The turnover frequency for the mixed oxide films is evaluated and compared to a selection of other well established oxidative water splitting catalytic systems (both heterogeneous and homogeneous). We conclude that the introduction of the surfaquo group as a mechanistic concept provides a useful bridge between heterogeneous catalysis and molecular catalysis.
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Figure 1. (a) Cyclic Voltammogram of RuO2 on Ti substrate in 1 M NaOH. (b) Open Circuit Potential Decay Plot of RuO2 on Ti substrate in 1 M NaOH. (c) Tafel Plot of RuO2 on Ti substrate in 1 M NaOH.