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The surface treatment of anodized TiO$_2$ nanotube (T_NT) is very desirable for enhancing its photoelectrochemical properties and often is a prerequisite to deposition of any overlying layer for photoactivity efficiency improvement. This study provides a comparative analysis into the effects of such surface treatments and the mechanistic insights behind the observed improvements in the performance of the treated T_NTs. T_NT surface treatment using three approaches, viz., TiCl$_4$, Zn(NH$_3$)$_4$$_{2+}$, and H$_2$O$_2$ is examined. TiCl$_4$ and Zn(NH$_3$)$_4$$_{2+}$ treatment results in formation of discontinuous islands of the respective oxides with 5-10nm and 15-20nm diameter particles. TiCl$_4$ treatment demonstrates an increase of 7.4% in photovoltage and is the most effective of the three approaches. Zn(NH$_3$)$_4$$_{2+}$ treatment also results in ~2% increase in photovoltage. However, a surface treatment of T_NT using H$_2$O$_2$ results only in a favourable shift in flatband potential (80mV). The T_NTs are rendered ineffective as H$_2$O$_2$ treatment causes the destabilization of the T_NT at the base. Finally, the activity of an overlying chalcogenide layer is improved with the TiCl$_4$ and Zn(NH$_3$)$_4$$_{2+}$ treatment (and not with H$_2$O$_2$) as evident from the photoelectrochemical responses: ($J_{T\_NT/TiO2/CdSe}$ > $J_{T\_NT/ZnO/CdSe}$ > $J_{T\_NT/CdSe}$ > $J_{T\_NT/H2O2/CdSe}$).
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