Analyses of Kinetic Models for Oxygen Reduction Reaction

 B. Jayasankar¹, D. Harvey³, K.Karan^{1,2}
¹Queen's-RMC Fuel Cell Research Centre, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada
²The University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada
³Ballard power systems, Burnaby, Canada

There has been a revival of interest in oxygen reduction reaction of Platinum, in particular regarding the development of kinetic models accounting for multiple elementary reaction steps [1-3]. Wang's multi step double trap mechanism [1] is used as a basis to highlight the importance of developing a consistent framework for describing oxygen reduction in liquid electrolytes. A robust/universal model should be able to reproduce at least the following three experimental characteristics, namely,

- 1. The oxide coverage in the presence and absence of oxygen
- 2. Logarithmic growth of oxide during cyclic voltammetry [3,4]
- 3. Logarithmic growth of oxide during potential step, e.g. experiments wherein mass change is monitored by EQCM [2]

This work examines the ability of some of the existing ORR models in capturing the aforementioned characteristics.

The existing multi-step models of Wang *et al.* [1] and Jinnouchi *et al.* [3] predict that the oxide coverage depends on oxygen concentration. On the other hand, a recent EQCM study [4] and some of recent x-ray absorption measurements indicate that the oxide coverage on platinum is independent of presence or absence of oxygen and solely dependent on water oxidation kinetics.

A characteristic feature of the CV in oxygen-free environment is the presence of a plateau in the anodic sweep. Figure 1 shows that the predicted CV response using Wang's double trap model [1]. It can be seen from the figure that the well established 'plateau' response during the anodic sweep above 0.9 V is missing.



Figure 1: Simulated CV using Wang's double trap model [1]

The plateau is associated with oxide growth from water oxidation. The growth kinetics of these oxide species has been found to be logarithmic in time [5,6]. The mechanism of water oxidation is also found to include a place exchange mechanism, where the oxide and platinum

flip lattice positions to form sub surface oxides [5,6,7]. Similar logarithmic growth of oxide from water oxidation is also found in potential step experiments [4]. Water oxidation reaction is a subset of Wang's double trap kinetics but it does not incorporate the logarithmic growth factor in the model. Similarly, Rai *et al.* [6] proposed a mean field model of water oxidation without considering the log growth factor.

The direct log growth model of Harrington and Heyd [5] adapted by Darling and Meyers [8] captures the essence of CV response as shown in figure 2. But the model is inadequate for predicting ORR rates.



Figure 2: Simulated CV using Darling and Meyers [8] log growth model

In this work, we analyze and present these issues in detail. A comprehensive multi-step mechanism must have the capability within its framework to predict ORR rates as well as experimentally observed oxide coverage, CV and EQCM data.

References

- 1. J.X. Wang, J. Zhang and R.R. Adzic J. Phys. Chem.A, 2007, 111 (49), 12702–12710.
- V. Rai, M. Aryanpour and H. Pitch, J.Phys.chem. C, 2008,112, 9760-9768.
- R.Jinnouchi, K. Kodama, T. Hatanaka and Y. Morimoto, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2011,13, 21070-21083.
- 4. A.Kongkanand and J.M. Ziegelbauer J. Phys. Chem.C, 2012, 116 (5), 3684–3693.
- 5. D.V. Heyd and D.A. Harrington J. Electroanal. Chem., 1992, 335, 19-31.
- 6. B.E. Conway, B. Barnett, H. AngersteinKozlowska and B.V. Tilak, J.Chem.Phys, 1990, 93,8361.
- G. Jerkiewicz, G. Vatankhah, J. Lessard, M.P. Soriaga and Y. Park Electrochemica Acta, 2004, 49, 1451-1459.
- 8. R.M. Darling and J.P. Meyers, J. Electrochemical Society, 2003, 150 (11), A1523-A1527.