## Modeling Positive-Electrode Reaction Kinetics of a Sodium-Metal Chloride Cell

Saeed Khaleghi Rahimian<sup>a</sup>, Ryan Ruixing Zhu<sup>a</sup>, Michael Vallance<sup>b</sup>, Badri Ramamurthi<sup>b</sup>, Alan C. West<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Columbia University, 500 West 120<sup>th</sup> Street, Room 801, New York, NY 10027, USA
 <sup>b</sup> GE Global Research, One Research Circle, Niskayuna, NY 12309, USA

A model has been developed to investigate the reaction mechanism in a mixed-metal chloride (MCl<sub>2</sub>, M=Ni & Fe) electrode; chemically similar to those found in sodium-metal chloride cells; during constant-current oxidation and reduction at 300° C. The proposed model is also used to predict open-circuit-voltage (OCV) relaxation data obtained at different states of oxidation and reduction during galvanostatic interrupt titration. The OCV relaxation data exhibit large transitions between Fe<sup>++</sup>/Fe and Ni<sup>++</sup>/Ni Nernst potentials, following interrupted reduction at Fe<sup>++</sup> reduction potentials. These transitions are simulated by assuming spontaneous redox between NiCl<sub>2</sub> and iron, confirming that residual NiCl<sub>2</sub> is present during FeCl<sub>2</sub> reduction.

A thin (~2 mm) coin-shaped working electrode, comprising a granulated mixture of common salt and solid metal powders (35% NaCl, 57% Ni, 8% Fe), is contained in, and separated from, the auxiliary electrode by a ceramic  $\beta$ "-alumina solid electrolyte/separator (BASE) tube that conducts Na<sup>+</sup>. The experiment is designed so that the ionic electric field is perpendicular to the flat bottom of the tube. The cathode materials are impregnated with molten NaAlCl<sub>4</sub> that functions as a second electrolyte. The reversible electrode reaction can be written as:

 $M + 2NaCl \Leftrightarrow MCl_2 + 2Na^+ + 2e^- (M = Ni, Fe)$ 

The reference electrode, an aluminum wire immersed in NaCl-saturated NaAlCl<sub>4</sub>, is contained in a soda-containing, borosilicate tube that conducts  $Na^+$ .

The electrochemical process in the electrode is modeled as 3 electrochemical reactions. Iron redox is modeled as two separate reactions where an intermediate solid compound,  $Na_6FeCl_8$ , participates in both reactions [1].

 $Fe + 6NaCl + 2Cl \implies Na_6FeCl_8 + 2e^{-1}$ 

 $3 \text{ Fe} + Na_6 \text{Fe} \text{Cl}_8 \Leftrightarrow 4 \text{Fe} \text{Cl}_2 + 6 Na^+ + 6e^-$ 

 $Ni + 2Cl^{-} \Leftrightarrow NiCl_2 + 2e^{-}$ 

The Nernst potentials of the first and third reactions depend on Cl<sup>-</sup> activity in the electrolyte; this dependence is seen in the OCV relaxation measurements. Solid-state, mass-transfer-limited kinetics; involving dissolution of NiCl<sub>2</sub> from a triclinic (Ni,Fe)Cl<sub>2</sub> solid solution [2]; governs the reduction of nickel chloride. This kinetics formulation allows us to predict electrode potential while NiCl<sub>2</sub> and FeCl<sub>2</sub> reduce simultaneously. NaCl and Na<sub>6</sub>FeCl<sub>8</sub> dissolution/precipitation kinetics are modeled using free-energy change as the driving force.

The model equations include material balances for the solid compounds (Ni, Fe, NiCl<sub>2</sub>, FeCl<sub>2</sub>, NaCl, Na<sub>6</sub>FeCl<sub>8</sub>) and Cl<sup>-</sup> in the electrolyte. The other molecular

and anionic electrolyte species (AlCl<sub>3</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub>, AlCl<sub>4</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>7</sub>) [3] are assumed to be saturated.

Material Balances :

$$\frac{d\mathbf{c}_{i}^{s}}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathbf{R}_{j} \left( \mathbf{c}_{i}^{s}, \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{O}}^{\theta} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{J}} J_{j} \left( \mathbf{c}_{i}^{s}, \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{O}}^{\theta}, \eta_{j} \right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{O}}^{\theta}}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{O}j} \left( \mathbf{c}_{i}^{s}, \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{O}}^{\theta} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{J}} J_{\mathrm{O}j} \left( \mathbf{c}_{i}^{s}, \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{O}}^{\theta}, \eta_{j} \right)$$
(1)

 $n_R$  and  $n_J$  are the number of chemical and electrochemical reactions, respectively.  $c_i{}^s$  is the apparent solid concentration which is defined as the moles per electrode volume. Butler-Volmer kinetics is used to predict the electrochemical reaction rates where the overpotential for each reaction is given as:

 $\eta_{\rm j} = \phi_{\rm s} - \phi_{\rm e} - U_{\rm j}$ 

Where  $\phi_s$ ,  $\phi_e$  and  $U_j$  are the solid, electrolyte and Nernst potentials for each reaction, respectively. Cl concentration gradient and over-potential gradients are neglected. These assumptions are shown valid for moderate redox rates ( $\leq 0.2~A/cm^3$ ) for the present geometry. The charge balance is written as the equality of the sum of the electrochemical reaction rates and the total current density:

Charge Balance :  

$$\frac{I_{app}}{V_{p}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{j}} j_{j} \left( c_{i}^{s}, c_{a}^{e}, \eta_{j} \right)$$
(2)

 $I_{app}$  and  $V_P$  are the applied current and electrode volume, respectively. Thus, a DAE system of 5 differential equations (Eq. (1), NiCl<sub>2</sub> and FeCl<sub>2</sub> are directly calculated using Fe and Ni balances) and one algebraic equation (Eq. (2)) is integrated through time to obtain cell potential ( $\phi_s$ ). Due to sharp  $\phi_s$  transitions associated with nickel oxidation and iron reduction onsets, MATLAB's DAE solvers failed to converge. Thus, the equations are integrated by using a MATLAB stiff ode solver, and by solving the algebraic equation using a robust golden section search and parabolic interpolation method at each time step. Optimal kinetic parameters are found by training the model with oxidation and reduction data generated at 50 mA (~ 0.1 A/cm<sup>3</sup>) and 100 mA (~ 0.2 A/cm<sup>3</sup>) using MATLAB's Global Optimization Toolbox.

This work was funded by the New York State Energy Research and Development Administration, agreement #18513. NYSERDA has not reviewed the information contained herein, and the opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect those of NYSERDA or the State of New York.

## **References:**

- 1. J. Coetzer, G.D. Wald , S.W. Orchard, J. Appl. Electrochem. 23, 790–800 (1993).
- J. Rijssenbeek, Y. Gao, Z. Zhong, M. Croft, N. Jisrawi, A. Ignatov, T. Tsakalakos, J. Power Sources 196, 2332–2339 (2011).
- 3. L. G. Boxall, H. L. Jones, R. A. Osteryoung, J Electrochem. Soc. 121, 223–231(1974).