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Semiconductor manufacturing costs have increased rapidly as technology complexity has accelerated at sub-20nm nodes. Wafer size transition from 300 mm to 450 mm will be one of the approaches to address this issue ${ }^{1}$. On average, a $30 \%$ cost reduction was seen with the implementation of 300 mm wafers from the previous 200 mm cost basis. Development of 300 mm equipment was to simply scale 200 mm tools, this won't be adequate to achieve success for 450 mm . Innovation in terms of process technology, substrate handling/transport and process flexibility is necessary. For wet clean tools, major challenges are listed below:

- Cleanness for advanced technology nodes
- Pattern collapse \& damage
- Wet etching uniformity
- Cost of ownership (CoO) reduction
- Wafer recycling by single wafer tool
- $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ selective etching


## Cleanness for advanced technology nodes:

Based on International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductor (ITRS), it is certain that the future of semiconductor manufacturing will continue to face significant challenges for surface preparation and critical cleaning steps, e.g. tight specifications for particle and metallic contamination etc. From a hardware standpoint, it can be addressed from three aspects, i.e. chamber atmosphere, quality control of fluids, and parts cleaning and aging. As shown in Table 1, with new design concept on chamber atmosphere control, the particle performance on 450 mm alpha tool, Dainippon Screen 450 mm cleaning tool(the first workable 450 mm wet clean tool in the world), is comparable with or slightly better than 300 mm production tool even without consideration of much larger wafer surface area (x2.25).

Table 1 Preliminary particle result on Dainippon Screen 450 mm alpha tool compared with mature 300 mm production tool

| Recipe | 300mm tool <br> 45 nmUP adder | 450mm tool <br> 45 nmUP adder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC1-SC1 NS | 8 | 4 |
| SPM-SC1-SC1 NS | 18 | 9 |

## NS: Nano-Spray

## Pattern Collapse \& Damage:

With the dimension and pitch shrinkage, the risk of pattern collapse and damage is increasing during wet clean steps, and use of FinFET for sub-20nm makes it much more vulnerable. Those feasible solutions for 300 mm tool, e.g. IPA drying, low surface tension fluids and advanced dispensing method ${ }^{2}$, will all be transferred to 450 mm tool. Innovative solutions are needed for 450 mm wet clean tools, which target application for nodes beyond 14 nm .

## Wet Etching Uniformity:

For larger wafer, uniformity (within wafer) is a challenge for many processes, including wet etching, dry etching, film deposition and CMP etc. From Fig. 1, it can be found that the uniformity on a 450 mm was degraded if using the same 300 mm chemical dispenses method. However, with a new method, better uniformity was
achieved even compared with 300 mm .


Figure 1: Uniformity for $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ etching by DHF

## CoO Reduction:

One of the major reasons for 450 mm transition is cost reduction. Key areas includes chemical and DIW usage reduction using new dispensing system and circulation, low N2 usage using new pump system, low power consumption using smart idle and new hardware, and smaller footprint by compact chamber etc.

## Wafer Recycling by Single Wafer Tool:

There is no wet bench system expected to be developed for 450 mm by key wet tool suppliers, which used to be the standard to recycle 200 mm and 300 mm wafers. For 450 mm , the wafer recycling has to be done by single wafer tool. Cost and throughput are major concerns. Good results in terms of short process time and low surface roughness were obtained on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ recycling wafers, shown in Table 2 and Figure 2. But further study needs to be done for poly-Si wafer recycling due to surface roughness after film strip, e.g. investigation on new chemicals, multi-step recipes etc.

Table 2 Etching rate and roughness after film strip

| Film | Chemical | ER <br> (nm/min) | Roughness <br> $\mathbf{R a}(\mathbf{n m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SiO 2 | $49 \% \mathrm{HF}$ | $>5000$ | 0.13 |
|  | DHF | 378 | 0.12 |
|  | HF/HNO3 | 208 | 1.9 |
|  | HF/HNO3/CH3COOH | 231 | 1.3 |
| Si 3 N 4 | $49 \% \mathrm{HF}$ | 513 | 0.15 |
|  | DHF | 56 | 0.12 |
| Poly- <br> Si/SiO2 | HF/HNO3 | 1338 | 1.7 |
|  | HF/HNO3/CH3COOH | 1773 | 1.9 |


(a) SiO 2 by $49 \% \mathrm{HF}$
(b) poly-Si/SiO2 by HF/HNO3

Figure 2: AFM result for film wafer recycling

## Si3N4 Selective Etching:

Currently, there still no solution for SiN selective etching by 450 mm wet tools although there were some proposals on how to replace a wet bench by single wafers tool for $300 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$.
Acknowledgement: Thanks to Katherine Sieg for the AFM measurement.
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