Pseudopotential-based study of electron transport in lowdimensionality nanostructures

M. V. Fischetti<sup>1</sup>, S. J. Aboud<sup>2</sup>, Z.-Y. Ong<sup>1</sup>, J. Kim<sup>1</sup>, S. Narayanan<sup>1</sup>, and C. Sachs<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Texas at Dallas, 800 W. Campbell Rd., Richardson, TX 75080 <sup>2</sup>Energy Resources Engineering Department, Stanford University, 367 Panama Street, Stanford, CA 94305

Pseudopotentials- empirical and *ab initio* - are now being more commonly used to study not only the atomic and electronic structure of nanometer-scale systems, but also their electronic transport properties. Here we shall give a bird's-eye view of the use of density functional theory (DFT) to calibrate empirical pseudopotentials (EPs), of EPs to calculate efficiently the electronic structure of lowdimensionality systems, the most significant electronic scattering processes, and to study semiclassical electronic transport. Low-dimensionality systems considered here include thin semiconductor layers, graphene, graphenesilicane-nanoribbons, and silicon nanowires. and Regarding graphene, the high electron mobility measured in suspended graphene sheets<sup>1</sup> (~200,000 cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs, as seen in the theoretical results shown in Fig. 2) is the result of a relatively weak carrier-phonon coupling and the strong dielectric-screening property. However, in practical applications graphene is likely to be supported by an insulating substrate, top-gated, and possibly used in the form of narrow armchair-edge nanoribbons (AGNRs) in order to open a gap. We will discuss several scattering processes which may affect electron transport in these situations. First, we shall present results of the calculation of the intrinsic electron-phonon scattering rates in suspended graphene and AGNRs using empirical pseudopotentials<sup>2</sup> and the rigid-ion approximation<sup>3</sup> or DFT calculated deformation potentials<sup>4</sup> (see Fig. 1), resulting in an electron mobility consistent with the experimental results (as shown in Figs. 2 and 3). We shall then discuss the role of interfacial coupled substrate optical-phonon/graphene-plasmons<sup>5</sup> (i.e.. remote phonons) in depressing the electron mobility in graphene supported by SiO<sub>2</sub>, HfO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and h-BN, as shown in Fig. 4. Finally, we shall review the strong effect of line edge roughness (LER) on electron transport (Fig. 5) and localization in narrow AGNRs<sup>6</sup> resulting from the `aromatic' width dependence of the band-gap of the  $sp^2$ coordinated AGNRs. This will lead us to consider  $sp^3$ coordinate ribbons (silicane) and Si nanowires as possible alternative structures -- less affected by LER scattering -of interest in nano-electronics application.



Fig. 1 Left: Electron-phonon scattering rates in suspended graphene at 300K calculated using EPs and the rigid-ion approximation. Right. Electron momentum relaxation rate in AGNRs of various width calculated using DFTdetermined deformation potentials, as in Ref. 4.



Fig. 2 Electron drift velocity vs. field (left) and longitudinal mobility vs. field calculated from the rates shown in Fig. 1 (left) at various values of the electron density.



Fig. 3 Electron mobility in AGNRs of various widths calculated using the momentum relaxation rates shown in Fig. 1 (right).



Fig. 4 Calculated remote-phonon-limited electron mobility in graphene supported by the insulators shown.



Fig. 5 Electron-LER scattering rates in AGNRs. Note the magnitude of the rates which show the breakdown of perturbation theory and suggest electron localization..

## References

- 1. K. Bolotin et al., Solid State Comm. 146, 351 (2008).
- 2. Y. Kurokawa, S. Nomura, T. Takemori, and Y. Aoyagi, Phys. Rev. B 61, 12616 (2000).
- S.J. Aboud, M. Saraniti, S.M. Goodnick, and M. V. Fischetti, in "Proc. International Workshop on 3. Fischetti, in "Proc. International Workshop on Computational Electronics", pp. 93-94 (2012). M. O. Long, L. Tang, D. Wang, L. Wang, and Z. Shuai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **131**, 17728 (2009).
- Z.-Y. Ong and M. V. Fischetti, Phys. Rev. B 86, 165422 5. (2012); ibid. 86, 199904(E) (2012).
- 6. M. V. Fischetti and S. Narayanan, J. Appl. Phys. 110, 083713 (2011).