Thermal Stability of NaCrO<sub>2</sub> for Rechargeable Sodium Batteries: Studies by Differential Scanning Calorimetry, and High-Temperature X-Ray Diffraction

N. Yabuuchi,<sup>a,b</sup> I. Ikeuchi,<sup>a</sup> K. Kubota,<sup>a</sup> and S. Komaba<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Applied Chemistry, Tokyo Univ. of Science 1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan

<sup>b</sup>Elements Strategy Initiative for Catalysts and Batteries, Kyoto University, Katsura, Kyoto, 615-8520, Japan

The demand for large-scale batteries is rapidly increasing, which potentially provides sustainable energy development. In the past few years, research interest on rechargeable sodium batteries (Na-ion batteries) is completely renewed for the large-scale applications. Naion batteries, operable at room temperature, consist of two different sodium insertion materials as positive and negative electrodes with aprotic solvent as electrolyte. Safety is essential for battery systems, especially for the large scale applications. Nevertheless, only few studies are available in the literature.[1,2]

Our group reported that O3-type NaCrO<sub>2</sub> was electrochemically active in a Na cell, whereas a lithium counterpart, LiCrO<sub>2</sub>, was inactive in a Li cell.[3] Very recently, a study on thermal stability of NaCrO<sub>2</sub> by accelerating rate calorimetry (ARC) revealed that NaCrO<sub>2</sub> is a fundamentally safe positive electrode material even with the aprotic solvent.[1] In this study, the thermal stability of Na<sub>x</sub>CrO<sub>2</sub> is examined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), thermogravimetry (TG), and high-temperature X-ray diffraction (HT-XRD), and the results are compared with those of other layered oxides..

Figure 1 compares DSC curves with different electrode materials, Na<sub>0.5</sub>CrO<sub>2</sub>, Na<sub>0.5</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>, and Li<sub>0.5</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub> with the aprotic electrolyte (NaPF<sub>6</sub> or LiPF<sub>6</sub> in propylene carbonate, PC). As shown in Figure 1, broad exothermic peaks are observed for Na<sub>0.5</sub>CrO<sub>2</sub>. A mild exothermic reaction with electrolyte is noted in comparison to  $Na_{0.5}CoO_2$  and  $Li_{0.5}CoO_2$ . This observation is consistent with the results of ARC.[1] To further study structural changes at elevated temperatures, high-temperature X-ray diffraction was conducted in the temperature range of 27 -527 °C (Figure 2). Diffraction lines from Na<sub>0.5</sub>CrO<sub>2</sub> at 27 °C can be assigned into a distorted layered structure with a monoclinic lattice (P'3-phase). As increase in temperature, monoclinic distortion is relaxed, and a single phase with a rhombohedral lattice (P3-phase) is found at 207 °C. Some of new diffraction lines appear by further heating >250 °C. These lines can be assigned into a P3phase with anomalously short interlayer distance (4.51 Å). This phase is also found in the previous report, and is proposed as the crystallization of Na-deficient P3-phase (P3-CrO<sub>2-δ</sub>).[1] Another diffraction line is found at 7.8 degrees in 2-theta by heating >400 °C. This peak originates from Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with a corundum-type structure.

In conclusion, the heating beyond 250 °C results in the segregation of Na-rich and Na-deficient P3-phases. The Na-deficient phase is thermally decomposed (approximately 400 °C) by which oxygen is released from Na<sub>0.5</sub>CrO<sub>2</sub>. The high decomposition temperature indicates superior thermal stability of Na<sub>0.5</sub>CrO<sub>2</sub>. From these results, we will further discuss thermal stability of sodium insertion layered oxides as electrode materials for rechargeable sodium batteries.



**Figure 1.** DSC curves of  $Na_{0.5}CrO_2$ ,  $Na_{0.5}CoO_2$ , and  $Li_{0.5}CoO_2$  (6.0 mg) with electrolyte (2.5 mg). Pressure resistive vessels (~10 MPa) were utilized for the measurement.



Figure 2. Synchrotron high-temperature X-ray diffraction patterns of  $Na_{0.5}CrO_2$  at elevated temperatures.

References

- [1] X. Xia et al., Electrochem. Solid-State Lett., 15, A1 (2012).
- [2] J. Zhao et al., J. Electrochem. Soc., 160, A3077 (2013).
- [3] S. Komaba et al., Electrochem. Commun., 12, 355 (2009).

## Acknowledgements

This study was in part granted by "Funding for NEXT Program," and "Elements Strategy Initiative to Form Core Research Center" (since 2012) from MEXT, Japan.