## Reduction of Interface States Density due to Post Oxidation with Formation of AlGeO Layer at Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Ge Interface

S. Shibayama<sup>1,2</sup>, K. Kato<sup>1</sup>, M. Sakashita<sup>1</sup>, W. Takeuchi<sup>1</sup>, N. Taoka<sup>1</sup>, O. Nakatsuka<sup>1</sup>, and S. Zaima<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University <sup>2</sup>JSPS Research Fellow E-mail: ssibayam@alice.xtal.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Interface control of high-k/Ge structures is one of important issues for realizing high performance Ge channel MOSFETs. State-of-the-art Si devices achieve a low interface state density  $(D_{\rm it})$  and an equivalent oxide thickness thinner than 1 nm [1]. Therefore, the Ge MOSFETs could also require the similar interface properties. One of solutions to achieve the interface properties is Ge surface oxidation through thin insulator layer (post oxidation). Recently, Zhang et al. have reported that the post plasma oxidation of the Ge surface through a 1-nm-thick Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer gives good interface properties [2]. They concluded that an ultra-thin  $GeO_x$ layer formed at the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Ge interface, which is detected as Ge<sup>3+</sup> component for a GeO2/Ge structure in Ge3d spectrum, is a key for improvement of the interface property. On the other hand, some reports also suggest that the Ge<sup>3+</sup> component is owing to AlGeO layer due to Ge or GeO diffusion into the  $Al_2O_3$  layer [3]. These conflicted results mean that the interface structures and during the oxidation have reactions not been comprehensively understood yet. Therefore, in order to further improve the interface properties, it is quite important to understand reaction mechanisms between  $Al_2O_3$  and Ge surface and to clarify the relationship between the interface structures and the electrical interface properties. In this study, we systematically investigated the reaction mechanisms at the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Ge interface by the detailed XPS analysis and the electrical interface properties using the conductance method.

A 1-nm-thick  $Al_2O_3$  layer was formed by using atomic layer deposition (ALD) method at 300°C on pand n-type Ge substrates treated with chemical cleaning using diluted HF and deionized water. Subsequently, the samples were oxidized at 200-600°C for 2-180 min. Then, to suppress gate leak current, a 3-nm-thick  $Al_2O_3$  layer was deposited on the  $Al_2O_3$  layer. Finally, Al electrodes were formed with vacuum evaporation. Angle resolved hard X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (AR-HAXPES) and conventional X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) method were performed to evaluate the interface structures for the 1-nm-thick  $Al_2O_3/Ge$  structure. Also, the electrical interface properties of the  $Al_2O_3/Ge$  structures were characterized by capacitance-voltage (*C-V*) measurement and conductance method including the surface potential fluctuation at 110-300 K.

Ge2p<sub>3/2</sub> and Ge3d spectra obtained from the HAXPES and XPS measurements were deconvoluted into 5 components related to Ge substrate (Ge<sup>0+</sup>), Ge suboxide (Ge<sup>1+</sup>-Ge<sup>3+</sup>), and GeO<sub>2</sub> (Ge<sup>4+</sup>) components. Ge2p<sub>3/2</sub> and Ge3d spectra mainly consist of Ge<sup>0+</sup>, Ge<sup>3+</sup>, and Ge<sup>4+</sup> components. In addition, the peak intensities of Ge<sup>3+</sup> and Ge<sup>4+</sup> components increase with the oxidation temperature or the oxidation time. Figure 1 shows area density ( $D_{area}$ ) of sum of Ge<sup>1+</sup> and Ge<sup>2+</sup> (Ge<sup>1+</sup>+Ge<sup>2+</sup>), Ge<sup>3+</sup>, and Ge<sup>4+</sup> components as a function of the oxidation temperature. Here, the oxidation time is 10 min.  $D_{area}$  of Ge<sup>x+</sup> (x=1-4) components were evaluated from the area intensity ratios of the Ge<sup>x+</sup> peaks to the Ge substrate peaks.  $D_{area}$  of the Ge<sup>3+</sup> component at the oxidation temperature around 400°C is larger than that of the Ge<sup>4+</sup> component, suggesting that the Ge<sup>3+</sup> component is preferentially formed compared to the GeO<sub>2</sub> layer. This result is consistent with the reported XPS results as mentioned before. In addition, we found that a layer associated with the Ge<sup>3+</sup> components is formed at near the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Ge interface from the take-off-angle dependencies of area intensity ratios between Al oxide and Ge<sup>x+</sup> components. Also, we found that  $D_{area}$  of the Ge<sup>3+</sup> component is saturated at around  $3 \times 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> more than 500°C. This value is in good agreement with the saturated value obtained from the samples oxidized at 400°C by changing the oxidation time. Also, the values correspond to the third of  $D_{area}$  of the Al oxide. This ratio well corresponds to that of a stable AlGeO phase, which is Al<sub>6</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>O<sub>13</sub> [4]. Therefore, the increase in  $D_{area}$  of the Ge<sup>3+</sup> component is attributed to the formation of AlGeO layer near the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Ge interface.

 $D_{\rm it}$  at an energy,  $E_{\rm SF}-E_{\rm i}$  of -0.20 and 0.25 eV from the midgap of Ge for the p-type and n-type substrates, respectively, are shown in Fig. 2. The  $D_{\rm it}$  values decrease with the oxidation temperature, and then, the  $D_{\rm it}$  values are saturated more than 500°C. It seems that the  $D_{\rm it}$  values strongly depend on  $D_{\rm area}$  of the Ge<sup>3+</sup> component as shown in Fig. 1. This implies that the reduction of  $D_{\rm it}$  is attributed to the AlGeO formation at the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Ge interface. Consequently, the AlGeO formation near the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Ge interface is a possible key factor to improve the interface properties.

This work was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Specially Promoted Research (No. 22000011) from the MEXT of Japan. The HAXPES experiment was performed at the BL46XU in SPring-8 with the approval of the JASRI (Proposal No. 2011B0026 and 2012B1575).

## References

[1] S. Natarajan et al., IEDM, p. 941 (2008).

[2] R. Zhang *et al.*, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices **59**, 335 (2012).

[3] S. Shibayama *et al.*, Thin Solid Films **520**, 3397 (2012).

[4] J. L. Miller et al., J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 50, 268 (1967).







Fig. 2 Oxidation temperature dependences of  $D_{it}$ .  $D_{it}$  value is extracted around  $E_{SF}-E_i \sim -0.20$  and 0.25 eV.  $E_{SF}$  and  $E_i$  is the Fermi energy at Ge surface and the intrinsic Fermi energy of Ge.